

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,593	01/28/2004	Berthold Berens	A-3878	3879
24131 7	590 02/16/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP			NGUYEN, PHONG H	
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOLL I WOO!	), 1L 33022-2400		3724	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E					
-	Applicant(s)						
	BERENS ET AL.						
	Art Unit						
	3724						
th the c	th the correspondence address						
	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	tice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of ent, affidavit, or other evidence, which						
	compliance with 37 C						
	ust be filed within one						
set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In					
	g date of the final rejecti						
HEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN					
CFR 1.1	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee					
amount	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee					
	te of the final rejection,						
nust be	filed within two month	ns of the date of					
7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since							
orth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).						
a brief, will not be entered because							
	TE below);						
-1-U		Aba iaawaa far					
rially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
nally rejected claims.							
Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
narato	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
parate,	unely med amending	on cancomy me					
) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of							

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/766,593	BERENS ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3 and 4</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

See Continuation Sheet.

Timothy V. Eley / Francy Examiner

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of applicant's clarification of the definition of the term "residual thickness", Sinn's backing plate still reads on the claim language. The residual thickness is best seen in Figs. 1 and 2. Although Sinn does not teach explicitly a specific dimension of the residual thickness, choosing an appropriate thickness for the residual thickness so that the backing plate can withstand applied forces of the punching and scoring die during its useful life is routine skill in the art.

Applicant argues that Sinn's backing plate and applicant's backing plate are different in shape. The difference is not presented in the claim language; therefore, Sinn reads on the claimed invention.

Although Sinn teaches a steel backing plate, replacing the steel material with the aluminum alloy to increase the backing plate wear resistance is routine skill in the art.